

RECEIVED

JAN 31 2014

Clerk, U.S. District and
Bankruptcy Courts

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES ex rel. LANDIS)	
)	
Plaintiff's)	Civil Action # 10-00976 (RLW)
)	
v.)	
)	
TAILWIND SPORTS CORP.,)	AMICUS BRIEF
)	
LANCE ARMSTRONG, ET AL)	
)	
Defendant's)	

Introduction

The only reason this case hasn't gotten to the level of a Watergate or The Iran Contra Affair is that there are no U. S Government officials involved. This Amicus Brief is about to change that.

Movant, Fred Mauney, will demonstrate for this court misconduct, corruption and fraud by the United States Postal Service, the Postal Inspection Service and USPS-Inspector General. Doing so will serve by showing the court that the USPS was not a victim but was in on the fraud alleged in this civil action. And that the USPS, Postal Inspection Service and the USPS-Inspector General were in on this conspiracy and an ongoing cover up that remains until this day.

First, Movant will document for this court that the USPS et al have dirty hands and have willfully committed misconduct in a case that Movant was personally involved in which runs parallel within the time frame of this civil action and that the Movant's personal case prompted his investigation into this case and uncovered corruption that has not yet been presented to the court.

Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction:	1
Part one:	2
Part Two:	6
Lance – Chris - Thom & USPS:	8
Tailwind Sports:	9
Tailwind Sports Ownership:	10
The French Connection – Drugs to Doping and “The Tour De France”:	13
Thom Wiesel’s Conspiracy:	13
Thom Wiesel:	14
Thom Wiesel-Lance Armstrong – And Dr. of Doping:	15
Amgen – Weisel and USPS:	15
USPS TYPE – PERQUISITIES(?) Same MO:	16
The Blood Wars – A – Pandora’s Box:	18
Fraud Upon The Court:	20
Amicus Summary:	21
Amicus Conclusion:	25
Verification:	27
Exhibits: Part One- A thru Y:	
Exhibits: Part Two- 1 thru 43:	

PART ONE

History of Movant, Fred Mauney's USPS Civil Case and Investigation

In 1999 Fred Mauney and Cory Karpakis were instrumental in solving the murder of U. S. Postal Service employee, Lee Wayne Parker, providing the crucial information leading to the murderer's arrest and conviction. Parker's murderer, Jose Garcia-Miramontes, was sentenced to two consecutive 30 year terms for attempting to kill both Movant and Karpakis who had happened on the murder scene by chance. Exhibit: B.

The USPS Offers a Reward for the Murder of Postal Employee's. Exhibit: C.

The U S Postal Inspection Service is in charge of paying rewards offered by the USPS. A week or so after the murder, Movant and Karpakis visited the Head Postal Inspector in Salt Lake City, Utah and were informed that they have the reward coming but only after the conviction. A few days after the conviction, Movant and Karpakis, visited the Postal Inspector again and were informed that the postal inspection service did not handle the investigation, and that because the City of Murray's police department performed the investigation and the Salt Lake County District Attorney handled the prosecution, rather than the U S Attorney, they, therefore, did not qualify for the reward. Upon Movant's inquiry, all of this was revealed as irrelevant, so Movant went to see the inspector again, and this time was told that Mr. Parker had not been on the job at the time of his murder. Movant then asked what did the phrase, ... 'Or On Account Of The Job' mean then, and was told simply that it didn't include Mr. Parker. The postal inspector did not know that Movant was a paralegal investigator and that the phrase "...OR... On Account Of The Job" meant more than just physically on the job or it wouldn't have been included.

At this time Karpakis dropped out of the claim because, having lived in UTAH all his life, he felt that the Mormon Church was behind our not being paid the reward. This reward is offered by an agency of the federal government, and not the State of Utah or the Mormon Church. Because of this Movant proceeded to pursue the reward on his own.

Movant went to the University Of Utah Law School and hooked up with a 3rd year law study group which agreed to research the case as an extra credit 'work product', with their professors oversight. They told Movant to come back the following week. Movant also did his own research in the law library, Black's Law Dictionary, The Federal Digest, C JS and Words and Phrases. Movant discovered there isn't much law on the phrase 'On Account Of' but that it was derived from the phrase 'Because Of' and there is a good bit of law there. But nothing the

Movant found supported the postal inspector's position, but instead, did support Movant's cause.

May the court please bear in mind , the murder of this postal service employee was in the papers all over the country plus on national T V.

Parker's murderer got 30 years for attempting to kill Movant and an extra 30 years for attempting to kill Karpakis while they were at the scene of the murder.

Upon Movant going back to the university law school the next week, the study group had researched rewards, with their professor's oversight, and said that Movant definitely had this reward coming and that to be eligible for punitive damages under U S Tort Law he should write a claim to the head of the postal inspection service in Washington, D C. before filing a lawsuit. Movant did so and the following exhibit was their reply. Exhibit: G.

Movant then filed a lawsuit in Federal Court SLC, UT., knowing that a U S Justice Department attorney or the U S Attorney would be handling the case and that it would be their responsibility to verify that there was a reason for the reward to be paid, and if so, to see to it that it be paid their by upholding the good name of the United States. (USPS).

But that is not what happened. Instead a Special Assistant Attorney Kurt Lusty was appointed by the local U S Attorney, and he filed motions to dismiss as if acting as an attorney for the USPS and not the U S Government. At this time Movant was riding a bicycle across the country. 9-11 happened while he was in the middle of Kansas with no way of responding and the case was dismissed.

Movant arrived in Washington D.C. and filed a complaint with the USPS-IG agent Derek Smith who, after first verifying Movant's complaint, gave it to their legal counsel which replied they couldn't find any reason why the reward shouldn't be paid. Exhibit: H.

Movant talked by phone several times to a Mrs. Carroll, who was in charge of rewards for the U.S. Postal Inspectors's main office, in Washington D C. Movant sought their policy and procedures manual on payment of rewards. Mrs. Carroll was unable or unwilling to provide information, actually stating that such a policy manual did not exist.

A year or so went by and Movant hadn't heard anything from Derek Smith so he called the Inspector General's office to learn that Derek Smith, had left and that Thomas Gribben was now in charge of Movant's complaint. Upon contacting him, Mr. Gribben did not know anything about Movant's case and had to look it up. Upon trying to contact him 6 months later Griggon was gone and a David Vannorstrand was in charge who was also unaware of the case. To this

day Movant has not heard anything back from any of these Postal IG's agents. And it became apparent, he was getting the run around by the IG's office.

In his frustration, Movant then rode a bicycle around the U S Capitol with a 4' X 6' inverted U S flag, a photo and story of which was published in The Salt Lake Tribune. Exhibit: I.

During this time Movant joined the chorus of people who were becoming inquisitive and suspicious regarding the relationship and contracts between the Lance Armstrong racing team and the USPS. Neither of the parties were cooperative, which implies to Movant they were hiding something.

In May of 2004 Movant went to his U S Congresswoman, Sue Myrick, and filed a complaint concerning his personal suit with her staff member, Robert Becker. Exhibit: J. In a couple of months they provided some answers from the USPS. Exhibits: K, L, M. The last exhibit mentions that the lawsuit had been dismissed but failed to mention that it had never been adjudicated. Movant told Mr. Becker of this, and Mr. Becker requested a copy of the whole lawsuit. In order to get a copy, Movant, on limited funds, had to return to Utah.

During this time Movant started making trips to the William and Mary Law School to meet with Constitutional Law Professor William Van Alstyne about his postal reward lawsuit. Professor Bill, told Movant to read the "Portal to Portal Act". Movant did.

Movant also bought Moore's Federal Practice book, "The Federal Law of Attorney Conduct", authored by Professor Judith A. McMorrow and Professor Daniel R. Coquilte of Boston Law School. See Exhibits: N, O, P.

At this time, I wish to make it known, In 1993 Movant was stricken with Transverse Myelitis in his lower spine and was diagnosed never to walk again, two years later, under his own steam Movant left the rest home and presently receives a monthly disability check of \$720.

Movant finally was finally able to return to Utah in 2006 to reopen his lawsuit since it had never been litigated. Upon looking up the Special Assistant U S Attorney Kurt, Lusty's address on original court papers so as to serve him. The first address turned out, to be Lusty's home address. Movant then went to the federal courthouse and got another official address for Kurt Lusty and upon going to it was shocked to find out that it was the Southeast Regional Office of the USPS and upon enquiring from the receptionist discovered that Kurt Lusty was employed there by the USPS as an attorney!

It is U S Attorney misconduct to appoint a U S Postal Service Lawyer to a case involving misconduct of the USPS and then more so not to notifying the plaintiff, Movant of such.

(At around this same time the U S Attorney firing scandal erupted, which was masterminded by powerful Mormons, by UTAH, U S Senator Orrin Hatch, Karl Rove, Kyle Sampson, and John Tolman. See Exhibit: Q .) This also involved the U S Attorney John Warner of Salt Lake City, Utah who was Kurt Lusty's boss. Movant decided at this time it was best for him to leave Salt Lake City.

Over the next few years Movant made several documentaries at Grassroots TV Aspen, Colorado about the postal reward fraud. See Exhibit: R . Movant made several You-Tube videos about the reward fraud and the apparent racing team fraud. See Exhibits: S . Movant also displayed 4 X 6 ft banners regarding the apparent racing team fraud in front of the Whitehouse and the USPS headquarters in Washington, D C. Exhibits: T and U .

Movant now goes numerous times to USPS-IG's office in Arlington, Virginia and made verbal complaints regarding both his postal reward and the apparent corruption of the postal service relationship with Lance Armstrong. Movants USPS-IG primary contact was agent Gordon Thompson.

Over the years with the help of several friends Movant set up a website "Phoenix Charities.com.", which covers these issues in the menu bar and has 86,000 hits. See Exhibit: V .

On one occasion Movant discovered that Postmaster General John Potter was to speak at the National Press Club. See Exhibit: W . Movant purchases a ticket and attends the luncheon, discussing his issue with staffers of John Potter, and got in line to speak with the Postmaster General, after the panel discussion. When Movant's turn came to talk to him, Mr. Potter, seemingly informed of Movants subject, fidgeted noticeably, turned abruptly and ran off.

On October 8th, 2009 Movant files a lawsuit in the Federal District Court, Washington D C. Case #: 1:09-cv-02182-UNA. Exhibit: X .

On November 2nd, 2009 Movant filed another lawsuit in the Federal Court, Eastern District of Virginia (Alexandria). Case #: 1:09-cv-01230-LMB-JFA. Exhibit: Y . Both lawsuits alleged corruption and fraud of the USPS et al. (Basically Two Whistleblower Lawsuits)

After much hardship Movant returns to SLC, UT, and Movant filed a "Fraud Upon The Court" motion in the USPS reward fraud case April 21st, 2010. Exhibits: 1 and 2 , along with U S Mail certifications: Exhibit: 3 . Later this was dismissed resulting in more misconduct this time by the federal judge and Kurt Lusty. (both Mormons)

Movant returns to Washington, D C. and again goes to see USPS-IG agent Gordon Thompson. Mr. Thompson was not in so Movant left current documentation with Thompson's case manager Sherry C. Hoda who gave Movant a letter of receipt dated September 15, 2010.

Exhibit: 4 . It is the first time this standard of professional procedure has been offered in all the years Movant has been dealing with the USPS-IG's office.

Week after week in the fall of 2010 Movant continues to go see agent Gordon Thompson. Week after week Mr. Thompson keeps giving Movant erroneous and irrelevant answers. 'Hoping to stall, delay in hopes that I will die or go away'. During this time Movant informs agent Thompson that he has been going to see Constitutional Law Professor William Van Alstyne, who has reviewed the case and said there is clear misconduct going on by the USPS. Movant relayed the message that anyone that the professor was completely open to being contacted. . Agent Thompson never has contacted Professor Van Alstyne.

Movant has seen Professor Van Alstyne about all of this and upon reviewing Movant's litigation and hearing Movants statements, the professor stated That "it is misconduct of the Federal Judge and Special assistant U S Attorney in SLC, UT". And misconduct of the USPS-IG's office" in Arlington, VA. And that anybody who wants to can contact him about it may do so and that he will so testify if called upon to. Exhibits: D, E, and F .

PART TWO

Now That Movant has established that the United States Postal Service et al, will lie, deceive and commit multiple frauds, Movant can proceed showing that the Postal Service doesn't have clean hands in this litigation and the court can now look with a more critical eye.

Questions That Have To Be Asked BY This Court and Answered

How could the USPS become involved with a sport that had a history of scandals, See Exhibit: 5 , with major scandals before USPS signing as a sponsor, to the point a number of participants in the sport had mysteriously died. Exhibit: 6 .

Major scandals happened after USPS signing as a sponsor with Thom Wiesel's / Tailwind Sports, again one involving multiple participants mysteriously dying. Exhibits: 7 .

Then there's the question where was the USPS et al during the lawsuit involving Lance Armstrong's coach Chris Carmichael/U S A Cycling (Thom Weisel) by two of the four that got seriously ill in the early to mid ninety's while under Chris Carmichael's care. (Lance being one of the two that did not sue). And why did not Lance join the lawsuit for " his" cancer illness?

Who initiated the first contact between Montgomery Sports and the USPS, who pushed the sponsorship, and why?

There could be several answers. Two that stand out (1) Thom Wiesel/Montgomery Sports needed the cover (backing) of the good name of the United States the USPS did that plus (2) the USPS acted as a shield to inquisitive eyes.

Movant knows of two maxims that CIA covert operatives use. One is “Dead Is Dead” and the other is “Remember that a coincidence might not be a coincidence and that two or more coincidences are not coincidences”.

It is important to ask what is the full story with all of Thom Wiesel overlapping businesses/ Montgomery Sports, and one time owner of the USPS sponsored cycling team, Disson, Furst and Partners a sports marketing agency and one time owner of the USPS sponsored cycling team, and Tailwind Sports, one time owner of the USPS sponsored cycling team. And then there's Thom Wiesel financier of the drug company Amgen that patented 'EPO' a drug used by cyclists but banned by the cycling rules. Thom Wiesel also financed U S A Cycling that oversees the enforcement of cycling rules in the U S of A and Thom Wiesel also managed funds for Hein Verbruggen who was president of UCI, which also had responsibilities to oversee the enforcement of cycling rules internationally. Are we and this court supposed to believe that the USPS management knew nothing of Thom Wiesel's business dealings or their implications. The only plausible answer is they were all in on the fix.

There was too much scandal going on in cycling for the USPS to be involved much less to keep being involved. So where was 'Due Diligence' performed on the part of the USPS's et al? There was enough evidence (probable cause) to warrant a criminal investigation, indictments, and trial plus convictions. Why wasn't there? See Exhibit: 8.

The only rational argument is the USPS, Postal Inspection Service, and the USPS-IG's office along with Thom Wiesel et al, were all in on this fraud.

How much did each of the USPS employee's receive in perquisites, (gifts and extra pay) in each of the nine (9) years of the sponsorship? Who are they.

Did they report this to the USPS, or better still, on their IRS forms? If not, why not?

Did they put the plane fares to Paris, France etc, on their personal credit card and later submit expense sheets to USPS for reimbursement while retaining their frequent flying miles? Were their personal vacation items put on a government issued credit cards? Was double-dipping standard practice?

USPS upper management employees are mostly of above average intelligence and the only plausible explanation for what could be called at the least “Criminal Negligence” behavior but also that there was actual criminal behavior such as but not limited to “Quid Pro Quo / Bribery arrangements that start with “Perquisites”.

Complicity and Complacency equals Conspiracy

Should the USPS et al be named as co-defendants in this lawsuit? If not, why not?

And then last but not least where was the concern, the oversight, due diligence, of each and every Owner, CEO, President, Supervisor, Manager, Employee’s of all that were directly involved.

This list could go on and on and demands an investigation of the USPS et al.

All of this constitutes the demand of U S C Title 18-Chapter 96 (RICCO), Section 1968. Civil investigation demanded.

The appointment of an Independent Counsel

U S C Title 28, (Judiciary and Judicial Procedure) Chapter 40, Sections 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, and 599.

The U S Attorney General, The Department of Justice and the U S Attorney’s office have conflicts of interest in this matter and seeing how Movant is the only qualified person at this time Movant is proffering his services to this court as to the appointment of Independent Counsel.

Lance- Chris- Thom & USPS

Considering the Lance Armstrong- Chris Carmichael / (USPS) / Thom Weisel - Chris Carmichael - U S A Cycling saga in the early 90’s. Lance Armstrong and three others in their late teens or early twenties, while under Chris Carmichael’s care as their cycling coach, became seriously ill, two with testicular cancer and two with Parvovirus B19. The latter is harmless unless you have immune deficiencies. On the other hand medical studies have concluded that this virus has an 85% correlation with testicular cancer. This occurrence rate was not normal in young men with all the advantages of health observation and care while being coached on cycling teams. Averaging 20 members or less to a team, this was at least a

20% illness rate when most teams had none. This was true for high school and college football or baseball teams. Remember these were all athletic, healthy young men eating right, exercising, and under the watchful eyes of their “coaches” and doctors. The national average for all young people getting sick with any kind of cancer was 1 to 2%. See Exhibit: 9. Something was truly amiss here! The two with Parvovirus B19 sued U S A Cycling board member and Lance’s coach, Chris Carmichael and U S A Cycling (Thom Weisel / Tailwind Sports) in the early 2000’s and they later settled for a reported \$500,000. These facts were all reported in the news. This case’s begs the question, where was the USPS during all of this? This is akin to a bank president hiring Willie Sutton as a bank teller or driver of his armored truck. Exhibit: 10. And then there is Sutton’s Law; “Where one should first consider the obvious” Exhibit: 11. Were Thom Weisel and USPS management oblivious to the obvious of the potential for scandal? Were they willingly turning a blind eye in favor of the international perquisites they enjoyed at the public expense? Jet -setting off to Paris, France. Vacationing and celebrating by wining and dining, with their fellow co-conspirators?

Not to mention where was the U. S. Postal Inspection Service? And why wasn’t the USPS-IG’s office responding to these articles, stories, books and complaints? Again Sutton’s Law.

In the interest of justice, these questions have to be asked of and answered by the United States Postal Service, et al. Was there a quid pro quo / bribery arrangement with Thom Wiesel, Montgomery Sports, Disson Furst and Partners, and Tailwind Sports?

Tailwind Sports

If there’s a purpose, then there’s a reasons

Are the folks mentioned as Tailwind Sports owners involved in a, for profit business venture and if not then why was everyone mentioned working for a well funded enterprise that was not profitable? Were their books cooked for those on the outside and for tax purposes or had the insiders constructed a Ponzi scheme or shell game and if so “where’s the pea”? Was this some form of a money-laundering-instrument to launder ill-gotten funds? Such as selling, the performance enhancing drug EPO, on the Black market. Somebody was providing the drug.

Upon reexamining Tailwind Sports, was it a tax dodge? Tailwind Sports LLC is a Florida company, Exhibit: 12 . with offices in California (Mark Gorski), Florida and Maryland (Allen Furst), Delaware (a corporate tax haven) and North Carolina (Cindy Sission). When it came to paying any taxes, where did it pay them? It was a Florida Company with 5 locations Exhibit: 13 . Tax listings in Maryland Exhibit: 14 . And when it shut down in 2008 it used the Office in North Carolina. Exhibit: 15 . No filing of Mark Gorski and the California office. "Where's the Money" This looks like musical chairs or a shell game, "Where's the Pea". Where is Tailwind's principle owner Thom Weisel in this tax dodge scheme?

Probable Cause?...for once...Why not look at the obvious?

Did Tailwind Sports ever pay any taxes and if so when?

Why Isn't The IRS a Plaintiff in this Lawsuit?

This court needs to ask the questions...And demand answers

Tailwind Sports Ownership

Montgomery Sports to Disson Furst and Partners to Tailwind Sports to

Disson Furst and Partners to Tailwind Sports?

Tailwind Sports was reported to own the USPS sponsored cycling race team featuring Lance Armstrong, from 1998 to 2004. Yet, Montgomery Sports first signed a sponsorship agreement with USPS in 1996 and it was a yearly roll over agreement till 2000. Movant is producing documentation that Disson Furst and Partners was the /owner/signee back in JULY 2, 1999. Exhibit: 16 . And another exhibit dated January 3, 2001. Exhibit: 17 . Is Tailwind Sports just a front while it reports it didn't make any money? Disson Furst and Partners, is a large sports marketing firm with many clients, offices

in major U. S. city's and accounts worth millions. Stephen Disson leaves the partnership and takes some of the business with him in 2001. Thom Wiesel, through his role as a major share holder, merged Disson Furst and Partners with Tailwind Sports. Disson Furst and Partners changes its name to Tailwind Sports' bringing with it their corporate accounts, again worth millions. Exhibit: 18 .

Tailwind Sports incorporated in the state of Florida August 26, 1999, Exhibit: 12 , (just after Lance Armstrong's 1st Tour De France win and it appears just for Lance Armstrong and one now has to ask how Thom Weisel could not know of the doping/cheating. He's the one and only one with all the inside information). Listed officers for Tailwind Sports were Cindy Sisson, Allen S. Furst, and Mark Gorski. Exhibit: 12 . Yet Disson Furst signed the sponsorship agreement with the USPS in 1999 and 2000, not Tailwind Sports as reported. Exhibits: 16 & 17 . How many years was it that Disson Furst was the signee of the USPS sponsorship contract and what years were they? While Montgomery Sports was the reported owner of the cycling team, 1996, 1997, 1998, (1999). Who was the actual signee of the USPS's contracts, Montgomery Sports, Disson Furst or both?

Take for example Tailwind Sports marketing and sponsorship arm managed by Cindy Sisson of Mooresville, N. C. as managing director Exhibit: 19 . Mooresville, N. C. is the home of a majority of NASCAR racing teams each of which take an average of 20 million dollars per year to sponsor. These Corporations have millions and perhaps billions, in net worth. Cindy Sisson is intelligent, liked and well connected in NASCAR, corporate sponsorship circles. Tailwind Sports, Cindy Sission, managed the USPS sponsored cycling teams marketing and hospitality at the Tour De France races. Exhibit: 20 : Perhaps she can explain why Tailwind Sports reported a loss..., and what the USPS perquisites cost for Tailwind Sports each year.

Consider Mark Gorski, who was General Manager of Montgomery Sports and later Managing Director of Tailwind Sports. It is reported that Gorski negotiated and managed all corporate

sponsorships, which included the U. S. Postal Service, VISA U. S. A. and VISA International, Yahoo!, (a company Thom Weisel took public), Coca Cola, Monarch Beverage Company (200 products), Nike, Volkswagen of America, Trek Bicycles, Bank of America (Nations Bank) which (later bought out Montgomery Securities), owned by Thom Wiesel. These are just a few of their Big Money sponsors. (Yet Disson Furst and Partners is the one signing sponsorship agreements with the USPS). Again these are Big Money sponsors. Plus Thom Wiesel is reported to have put up Millions of his own money. Where is the money or accounting for the money that is in the Millions of dollars. All these sponsorships have to be reaching hundreds of millions of dollars! Mark Gorski should be asked by this court, what happened to the money. Exhibit: 21 .

Tailwind Sports names Bill Korbus another well connected name in sports marketing, as vice president. Exhibit: 22 . Soon after Tailwind Sports developed a partnership with Team Taurus of Ford Motor Company and other big money with deep pockets, corporate clients. This contract is not just a big money maker it is a huge money maker. Exhibit: 23 . Why hasn't this been mentioned anywhere in the court documents? What is going on here, two sets of books and a money laundering scheme.

Where's the money..... "WATERGATE" follow the money!!! Thom Wiesel's Tailwind Sports is trying to tell this court that it is broke. Again, is this not "Fraud Upon The Court"?

Exhibit: 24 , is a graphic schematic of (Godfather) Thom Wiesel's criminal crime empire involving the fixing of pro-bicycle races, while using the good name of the United States Postal Service to ride as shotgun and give it cover. One must wonder where, is the picture-box mentioning Disson Furst & Partners merging with Tailwind Sports. The schematic also leaves out Hein Verbruggen former president of International Cycling Union (ICU) and had investments with Thom Wesel, and whom Lance Armstrong is now accusing of helping him fix a race. Exhibit: 25 .

Was there a quid pro quo / bribery arrangement with Herr Verbruggen, USPS and others?

Does the omission of this constitute guilt and Fraud Upon The Court?

In the interest of justice, these questions have to be asked and answered by Thom Wiesel, Cindy Sisson, Mark Gorski, Bill Korbus, Hein Verbruggen, and perhaps other Tailwind Sports employees.

THE French Connection – Drugs to Doping and “The Tour De France”

Thom Weisel, Amgen (EPO), USPS/Lance Armstrong, Drugs to Doping Scandal

Was This A.... C. I. A. / U. S. Military Covert Operation (?)

A case of “ Suspension of Disbelief ” In the Face of Facts and Common Sense

There is no question that Lance Armstrong was a talented cyclist in his pre-cancer years winning 1 day events (speed races) but nothing longer than a week of racing. Was he also cheating and doping then? Did doping cause his cancer? He couldn't finish races in the pelotons Grand Tour, having dropped out (quit) and he was never known to be a strength or power racer at the end in the mountain stages.

You don't take a 5 ft guard in basketball and make him your center or a 300 lb lineman and make him a halfback, end or safety in football or a shot-putter, sprinter in track and have them run the mile

For Lance Armstrong to come from not being able to finish a mountain race to coming from way behind and not just catching up and being a contender and or winning; but to literally blow his competition off the road as if they had a headwind and he had a tailwind, is unheard of in the history of cycling.

While other top racers were cheating / doping just to keep up! ... Common sense would tell you ... No Way!

It makes no sense for the USPS to be involved unless there was some form of graft, quid pro quo / bribery and if so Thom Wiesel is involved ... It takes two to Tango. There is a minimum of probable cause to investigate.

Thom Weisel's Conspiracy

Thom Weisel is known to be a brilliant mind in the world of finance and this is proven out as he has earned million's. Thom Weisel takes that intellect and applies it to a professional cycling team that goes on to win the most challenging race in cycling where a thousand things can go wrong at any time.

Yet Thom Weisel and his attorney's would have this court believe that he had no idea that cheating and doping was going on in a large way in cycling and the cycling team that he owned and financed with riders and employees with dubious history's in cycling to say the least and that he had no knowledge or even an idea that his cycling team was up to its neck in cheating and doping.

This would be akin to saying Thom Weisel is an idiot savant when it come to the world of finance and again an idiot savant in putting a professional cycling team together but when it comes to knowing what was going on within his cycling team as to cheating and doping he's just your everyday garden variety, plain old idiot. And the court should let him go.

For Thom Wiesel to claim he knew or knows nothing defies reasoning.

A person of below average intelligence and sound mind would be insulted if you tried to put this by them. All one has to do is connect the dots. A five or six year old can do that! If the filings by Thom Wiesel and his attorney aren't an insult to this courts intelligence ... Pray tell what is.

It is therefore easy to draw the conclusion that some form of "Fraud Upon The Court" is in evidence here, especially if this court dismisses Thom Weisel at such an early stage.

This lawsuit involves a conspiracy which is still ongoing. 18 U.S.C. section 371- Conspiracy "To Commit Offense or To Defraud United States". Exhibit: 32.

Thom Weisel

..." The Devil is in The Details" ... or ... Is ... "The Weasel is in The Weeds" ...

It's time to look at Thom Weisel from "...the other side of the coin..."

Thom Weisel (Ross Investments, etc.) is not just an innocent investor-owner in this lawsuit, Thom Weisel is a co-conspirator, Thom Weisel is part and parcel to this crime (civil action), Thom Weisel is not just an enabler, Thom Weisel, is the facilitator. This was Thom Weisel's baby. He was the MASTERMIND and FINANCIER of this corporate scandal. Thom Weisel could be called a career criminal with a legitimate business front. A mafia style Godfather (Don) with Capo-regimes, lieutenants, solders and associates. Exhibit: 24 . RICCO?

... "If You Lay Down With The Dogs, You Come Up With The Fleas" ...

Thom Weisel – Lance Armstrong – And Dr. of Doping

Thom Weisel knows everything there is to know about professional cycling. So why were he and his star cyclist, Lance Armstrong associating with the infamous Dr. of Doping, Michele Ferrari? This court needs legitimate answers.

This Amicus Brief Demonstrates; Crimes against the Public Trust

That Thom Weisel was driven by his ego, ambition, the notoriety, and monetary gain to have the world's top cycling team by any means, and was willing to lie, cheat and steal to achieve this end. This meant finding a sponsor with an established and impeccable reputation with official credibility. He found such an organization in The United States Postal Service. The sinister, wicked and yes evilness of this scheme is that they took a miracle cancer survivor, Lance Armstrong, portrayed him as a message of hope, and implied that a cancer cure was just around the corner for this illness to which affects so many. Implying that all we had to do was give them money to turn the corner and to race the final few steps for the cure.

The villainy of this scheme is in the opportunistic advantage it took from popular emotions of victory and hope. In this case it was the dual victories of a miraculous cancer survivor winning world championships of physical might, and that a cure for cancer was within reach.

Parents, Grandparents, Uncles, Aunts and Spectators, who pointed out Lance Armstrong as a role model to their children, have now been made fools of, therefore destroying the cohesiveness of the family unit. That their country, the good old U S of A – U S Postal Service et. al., was part and parcel to it!

A Trillion dollars would not be enough compensation or punitive damage for the harm Thom Weisel, his mob and associates have done to the national pride and psyche of this country.

Amgen – Weisel – and USPS

EPOGEN (EPO)

EPO, is the performance enhancing drug at the center of this controversy. Amgen spent years trying to develop this oxygen boosting blood additive and get FDA approval for its use. In late 1983, Amgen raised \$40 million in an initial public offering underwritten by Smith Barney, Dean Witter and the same Montgomery Securities, founded by amateur cyclist Thom Weisel, which also financed the U.S. Postal Service Pro Cycling Team later led by Lance Armstrong.

(Was) USPS, A CO-CONSPIRATOR (?)

In Europe, in the late 80's EPO's clinical trials began, and marathon runners, Nordic skiers and Dutch cyclists were obtaining the drug on the black market. Between 1987 and 1990, about 18 young cyclists died under mysterious circumstances, with at least 10 deaths attributed to heart failure, including 27-

year-old Johannes Draaijer. He had finished 20th in the 1989 Tour de France and had competed in another race a few months later. Then, he died suddenly of a heart blockage. It wasn't clear if Draaijer had used blood-thickening EPO, but his widow told the media that he had become sick after using EPO and she hoped her husband's death would warn other athletes about EPO's danger.

Amgen considered educating cyclists about EPO's risks, but in the early days of the drug's use, EPO's makers never did. Many researchers believed that the dead athletes had simply been heavy dopers. A normal hematocrit level for a man was in the mid-40s. Yet, some cyclists had tested at the extremely dangerous levels of 60 and above. Amgen insisted that EPO was "safe and effective if used properly". But proper dosing was still being researched!!! Amgen's executives had known and did know that their product, EPO, was being used by professional cyclist. Ex-professional cyclist Thom Wiesel also knew. Yet they never did any educating, warnings or clinical trials involving EPO and cyclists. Amgen and Thom Wiesel just sat back and watched them die, basically as guinea pigs in their ongoing research, murdering pro cyclists out of haste and greed.

Is this willful negligence? Murder in the 2nd degree? ... RICCO? "Murder... Murder She Wrote," There's No "Statute of Limitations" for murder.

USPS TYPE – PERQUISITES(?) Same MO

Amgen, used elaborate spread sheets to show doctors the difference between the price they'd pay for Epogen, and the higher amount they'd pocket by filing false insurance claims. (Selling on the "spread" is illegal). Amgen told its reps to "hide these illegal promotions" by reporting them as "business reviews," says whistleblower Don Hanks' whistleblower lawsuit. Exhibit: 26. Amgen also began to use "other marketing techniques to boost sales, including (offering) rebates, off-invoice discounts, volume discounts, free goods, extravagant dinners, and lavish retreats for doctors." It even "paid" (bribed) physicians who helped promote its drug \$1,000 each and pharmacists \$750, he said, in violation of anti-kickback laws

Because Amgen's doctor-clients could bill huge insurers' such as U.S. Medicare and state Medicaid plans, for a drug they received free from Amgen. Whistleblower Kassie Westmoreland's suit claims that Aranesp free sample worked like a kickback, paying clients to drop Procrit, and to buy Aranesp. Exhibit: 27. [EPO (Epogen) and Aranesp are Amgen's product and Procrit is Johnson and Johnson's. They are basically the same drug that's used to replace red blood cells and treat anemia and used for treatment in cancer patients.]

To sweeten the deal, Amgen sent doctors on paid weekend retreats and party "seminars" and taught them how to bill for the free drug. Westermoreland's 140-page suit describes an elaborate scheme that

enlisted not just doctors, but drug distributor AmerisourceBergen and others. Such schemes inflate the cost of America's taxpayer-funded programs.

Amgen is currently fighting several lawsuits about its alleged illegal drug deals, including pushing high doses on cancer patients.

In 2012, 15 states joined Westmoreland's "overfill" whistle-blowing case, and Amgen began negotiating a \$780 million settlement. "(Inducing) more and more prescriptions in higher and higher doses have become far too common courses of conduct," said a brief filed in a different Amgen suit.

The company has spent more than a year trying to resolve 10 whistle-blower lawsuits with prosecutors from 15 different states. And now, 2013, has a whistleblower lawsuit settlement pending for 780 million dollars. Of which 150 million are to pay criminal penalties! These lawsuits were all going on during the same time period as Amgen's EPO was being used by the USPS's sponsorship of Weisel's Tailwind Sports. Exhibit: 28 .

Amgen still has other whistleblower lawsuits pending against them and awaiting settlement. Exhibit: 29 .

Thom Weisel's Montgomery Sports, later Tailwind Sports, signed contracts for USPS's sponsorship that included "perquisites" ...gifts and extra pay...or bribes and kickbacks, just like Amgen, which has recently pled guilty and agreed to pay 780 million dollars in fines with 150 million of that going for criminal penalties. Exhibit: 28 .

Thom Wiesel's Montgomery Securities funded Amgen, Montgomery Sports (cycling) and Tailwind Sports (cycling). If the court will check, it will discover, associates and employees of Thom Wiesel's Montgomery Securities are and have been intermingling with the board of directors, past and present, of Amgen.

The elaborate scheme of "Weisel's, Amgen, Montgomery Securities, Montgomery Sports, Tailwind Sports, enlisted not just the USPS but USA Cycling, UCI-CEO Herr Verbruggen , Ross Investments et. al

"WIESEL's company, " Montgomery Securities" which funded Amgen,(maker of EPO) and funded Montgomery Cycling, which later became Tailwind Sports which the USPS – sponsored. So the USPS sponsorship contract funded Wiesel's / Amgen's (EPO) biomedical human research on a pro cycling racing team? They were in "essence," human lab rats being tested by "a Weasel", thru a lucrative contract with an agency of the U.S.

Does this remind anyone of "The Tuskegee Project? Exhibit: 30 .

PUBLIC LAW
93-348-JULY 12, 1974

AN ACT [H' R. 7724] TO amend the Public Health Service Act

To establish a program of National Research Service Awards, to assure the continued excellence of biomedical and behavioral research and to provide for protection of human subjects involved in biomedical and behavioral research and for other purposes.

If the truth be known, was Thom Weisel running a CIA type covert operation for the development of Amgen's EPO product and was Armstrong his managing field operative? While with the USPS funding, running cover and acting as a shield? It is well established that the U. S. Military is keenly interested in the development of performance enhancing drugs for its personnel.

U. S. C. Title: 18 Crimes and Punishment

CHAPTER 11—BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST (§§ 201–227) Exhibit: 31.

CHAPTER 19—CONSPIRACY (§§ 371–373) Exhibit: 32.

CHAPTER 31—EMBEZZLEMENT AND THEFT (641–663) Exhibit: 33.

CHAPTER 47—FRAUD AND FALSE STATEMENTS (§§ 1001–1040) Exhibit: 34.

CHAPTER 63—MAIL FRAUD AND OTHER FRAUD OFFENSES (§§ 1341–1351) Exhibit: 35.

CHAPTER 73—OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE (§§ 1501–1521) Exhibit: 36.

CHAPTER 96—RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS (RICCO) Exhibit: 37.

Does Movant hear the faint footsteps of a Special Prosecutor? Exhibit: 38.

The Blood Wars - A - Pandora's Box

Thom Wiesel -- AMGEN – MONTGOMERY SECURITIES – PRO CYCLING

Thom Weisel's Montgomery Securities and his investments in Amgen (EPO, a blood booster) and Montgomery Cycling (Tailwind Sports) which uses Amgen's EPO to cheat, and USPS's name to run cover and act as a corporate shield as Tailwinds employees cheat and commit fraud. Is this court being lead to believe USPS knew nothing about the sport or people they were signing a sponsorship with? Thom Weisel's employees and ex-employee's of Montgomery Securities and Montgomery Sports (pro cycling) and Amgen's board of directors past and present are so interrelated unraveling the complete story of the USPS cycling team's corruption is like opening Pandora's Box.

Amgen's EPO isn't a kitchen sink meth lab or a marijuana plant growing in a flower pot. It is an expensive and highly technical operation. Would anybody care to guess which pharmaceutical company

is turning a blind eye as their EPO goes out the back door? Considering Amgen's recent 760 million dollar, whistleblower, fraud settlement, which includes 150 million dollars as a CRIMINAL FINE and running in step with the Tailwind Sports fraud...does the name Thom Wiesel and Amgen come to mind? They Are they at the center of the story, along with Lance Armstrong et al and the USPS's sponsorship.

Not only is Thom Weisel's Tailwind Sports involved in a "Whistle-Blower" lawsuit about defrauding the United States by means of the USPS but Thom Wiesel funded Amgen is involved in multiple "Whistle-Blower lawsuits about defrauding the United States and different states involving Medicaid Fraud.

Amgen has entered into a settlement for fraud, involving 15 states and a multiple of Whistle Blowers, for 760 million dollars, with 150 million dollars of it as a Criminal Penalty. Why isn't any of this being brought to the court's attention? Would this oversight constitute "Fraud Upon The Court" Exhibit: 28

Movant feels it's safe to say Thom Weisel is not only a career criminal but an international one at that. And Thom Weisel is using the United States as his main base of operations. Thom Weisel used the USPS to further the use of Amgen's EPO by allowing his Tailwind Sports cycling team to use and experiment with the drug (EPO), which is illegal and banned by cycling regulations. By allowing itself to be a sponsor of Tailwind Sports, the USPS became a co-sponsor in the illegal and fraudulent usage of Amgen's EPO, and USPS's full interest and involvement seem self evident given the extent of their investment in and relationship with them for such a lengthy period of years.

With Thom Weisel in some way or another having his hand in everybody's pocket, one has to ask how far does his hand extend? To the President of the United States,? The Attorney General and staff,? U S Attorney's Office? Does "Watergate" come to mind? Connect the dots.... But certainly high officials of the USPS must be considered.

History and the documented evidence demand's theses questions be asked and answered in this litigation.

Credit: Cycling News article by Kathleen Sharp, ' Armstrong's fraud paralleled EPO-maker's feud'

Exhibit: 39

<http://www.cyclingnews.com/features/armstrongs-fraud-paralleled-epo-makers-feud>

FRAUD UPON THE COURT (?)

This is a lawsuit that claims the USPS was defrauded with some 28 attorneys listed as plaintiff or defendant's lawyers.

The question is, why isn't the USPS listed as a plaintiff and where are the lawyers on the USPS's staff?

Are we and this court to believe that the USPS had no idea of what was happening with their sponsorship?

That the USPS isn't aware there is on-going civil litigation concerning them and the team they sponsored?

It is in the record that some of the defendants claim the USPS got what it paid for plus perquisites. That some of the defendants want to file interrogatories, depositions, discoveries, and subpoenas aimed at the USPS and or employees. It would seem that the USPS would want at least one attorney representing them.

Is it that attorney's for the, U. S. Government, are in fact, staff attorneys for the USPS as in Movants lawsuit filed in Salt Lake City, UTAH? Exhibits: 1 & 2.

Questions That Have To Be Asked and Answered

Attorney's are the sentry's for Justice,.... " Guardians Of The Gate ". Have these attorney's in this litigation deserted their post, gone AWOL?

What does the USPS have to gain by not having its presences here... Or better still, what does it have to hide?

Is this a scheme to give the defendants a rabbit hole to run down and escape? And if so is the court involved in this scheme? Either way or both, would this not constitute Fraud Upon The Court

Summary

Fred Mauney, Movant in this Amicus Curiae Brief, has, from the start, documented a prima facie case for why this Amicus Brief should be allowed. In consideration of the Motion For Leave and documentation that he is credible, Movant's amicus brief should be granted standing.

1. Movant filed an Amicus Brief in U. S. District Court, District of Utah, Central Division, Judge David Sam presiding, Criminal Case No. 95-CR-2085, which led to over 1,000 investors, and 33 million dollars being recovered in a Ponzi scheme. What has not been said is that the U.S. Justice Department, the U. S. Attorney, SLC, Utah, the FBI and the enforcement arm of the SEC, all knew about this Ponzi scheme because Movant had been in contact with them and yet they kept this from David Sam, the Federal Judge presiding over that criminal complaint. It was the Movant's Amicus brief filed in Judge David Sam's courtroom, and Judge Sam's furious response at having been left out by the aforementioned federal law enforcement agencies, that responsible for the recovery of the investor's 33 million dollars.

2. Movant has provided the documentation of USPS involvement in a fraud regarding a reward offering, and of his trips to see the renowned Constitutional Law Professor William W. Van Alstyne (Duke, William & Mary law schools) who upon reviewing Movant's documentation, stated that there was Misconduct involving the USPS et al. Movant in this Amicus Brief has documented for the court that the USPS was involved in two or more frauds simultaneously. **This has laid the groundwork that The USPS has a predisposed mindset to commit fraud (misconduct), and that the USPS has to be looked at with suspicion in the Landis-Whistleblower lawsuit.**

3. Movant has demonstrated that, given the high value and importance of their business relationship, the USPS should have been suspicious at some point of Thom Wiesel, Tailwind Sports and Lance Armstrong.

4. Movant has demonstrated the need for the history of Tailwind Sports to be examined with a critical eye.

5. Movant has demonstrated that Tailwind Sports, ownership and finances, need to be questioned.

6. Movant has demonstrated that the USPS was either a participant in this fraud or willingly turned a blind eye to the obvious, suggesting that they felt they were beyond suspicion and somehow above the law.

7. Movant has done a complete breakdown on Thom Wiesel in this amicus brief and has demonstrated that this court would have to be in a "suspension of disbelief" not to see there's at least probable cause to believe that Thom Wiesel is the financier and mastermind of this fraudulent scheme with the USPS. [There are numerous questions that have to be asked and answered, especially of Thom Weisel and the USPS. Thom Weisel, through his attorneys, would have this court believe that he doesn't know anything about this scandal-lawsuit. [willfull ignorance]. This is akin to Thom Weisel owning a house or mansion

with many rooms that has turned into a crime scene with multiple crimes in every room. Thom Wiesel is trying to tell this court he's just the landlord. Yet his fingerprints are in every room of the house and only his fingerprints are in every room and around every crime.... Probable Cause? Well, DUH! At the very least detectives would question him, investigate his alibi, and obtain search warrants where warranted, with court approval.... Just watch "Law & Order".]

8. Movant has documented there is reason to believe that Amgen, Thom Weisel, Lance Armstrong, USPS personal, and numerous others are involved in this fraudulent scheme on the U. S. Government to the point of operating as a " Mafia" style crime family, in violation of the RICCO Act.

9 Movant has presented documentation that there is reason to believe Fraud Upon The Court is occurring and this court must examine everything with suspicion and a critical eye.

10. This fraud and the cover up of this fraud is an ongoing crime, and therefore the statute of limitations does not apply.

11. Movant has opened the door to another important question that this court needs to ask: Were Military Intelligence and/or the C. I. A. working, separately or together, in this scandal for their own reasons?

Was there C. I. A. / Military Intelligence Involvement?

- A. Amgen's EPO blood booster immediately showed a 10% increase in a human's stamina. The advantage this would give our soldiers in the field of combat was enormous. But to go through the rigors and delays of the FDA could be fatal for the U. S. What better way could there have been to circumvent U. S. laws or better opportunity than to have a study group of healthy young men doing undocumented clinical studies in the most demanding sport race of strength and stamina.... than the Tour De France....? Now this knowledge and technology could be applied on our military personal in the field.
- B. The C. I. A. has a documented history of working abroad for the benefit of American multi-national corporations to the point of destabilizing governments so that the corporations could get a better deal on the country's natural resources or proprietary secrets.

The (USPS) inserted as perquisites [payment/theft] what amounts to kickbacks and gifts into the sponsorship contract. Was this a type of extortion on Tailwind Sports for the sponsorship? Does the USPS in effect want to embezzle postal funds and launder them through Tailwind Sports.

When, questions, about Lance Armstrong come up to the USPS executives, do they now have a financial conflict of interest, which compels them, not to investigate?

Specific questions that now have to be asked and answered are:

1. How many expense paid trips did Tailwind Sports pay for out of the sponsorship agreement funds.
2. Who were these postal executives and guest?
3. How much in total monies and perks was paid out for each executive and guest.
4. To which events.
5. Plus each and every year from 1995 to 2006.
6. Did they report this gift(s)/income on their IRS filings?
7. Did they report these as require in U S Codes 5 Government Organization and Employees (Ethics)
8. Did they get the kickbacks on their frequent flier miles? That they didn't pay for....double dipping
9. Was the USPS was acting as a corporate shield? That the USPS executives were and still are nothing more than a bunch of criminals, hoodlums, and thugs.

Black's Law Dictionary: perquisites: A term that describes compensation above a person's ordinary salary.

PERQUISITES: In the USPS sponsorship contract

Montgomery Sports to start with, what were the amount of perquisites in the early years especially before Lance and Tailwind. The number of executives getting perks, their names and money spent by Montgomery Sports?

Lance won the Tour De France in 1999. How many of the USPS executives participated and what was the monetary amounts in perks and number of events increased over the coming years.

If the USPS was getting such a bang for its buck, why did they drop their sponsorship?

Movant contends that the USPS was under too much heat to reveal details of their early relationship with Weisel (Montgomery Sports) and the contents (perquisites) of their sponsorship agreements.

Why did the USPS become involved in a sport so riddled with scandal and doping if they had such a good name to protect? Movant contends that it was this reason Weisel picked the USPS is for its good name, 1st so as it could run cover for the doping scandals and 2nd so it could act as a corporate shield over all their illegal activities.

Why did Tailwind put up with the perquisites/extortion or pay the bribes? Montgomery/Tailwind Sports would have had no problem getting another sponsor after the 1999 season. Proof in point was when the heat got so bad that the USPS finally had to drop sponsorship or reveal its records, Lance /Tailwind/Wiesel got the Discovery Channel to sponsor them.

Which leads to the question, what was Tailwind Sports and Discovery Channels sponsorship agreement in regards to perquisites?

Federal Funds

It is illegal to use federal funds to perpetuate a fraud or crime

United States Code Title 5, Government employees and Ethics

United States Code Title 18, Crimes and Punishment

United States Code Title 31, Money and Finance

12. Movant request that he be granted litigating amicus status. See: Knopp v Johnson, Wyatt v Stickney, Michigan Fishing Rights Case, Michigan Prisons Case.

Reasons for granting amicus status is the sole discretion of the trial judge.

- a. Is it in the public Interest ... Yes!
- b. Is it of governmental Interest ... Yes!
- c. Is it of grave importance ... Yes!
- d. To assure justice ... Yes!
- e. Will it achieve judicial economy and efficiency ... Yes!
- f. Will it assist the court in avoiding Error ... Yes!
- g. To preserve the courts honor and integrity ... Yes!, Yes!, Yes!

13. Finally, Movant believes there is a need for a Special Prosecutor to be appointed by this court.

Conclusion

This Presentation will allow the court to look at this litigation under a different lens and with an eye of suspicion

This amicus brief should be accepted by this Honorable Court as an invaluable tool.

Movant filed an Amicus Brief in U. S. District Court, District of Utah, Central Division, Judge David Sam presiding, Criminal Case No. 95-CR-2085, which led to over 1,000 investors, and 33 million dollars being recovered in a Ponzi scheme. For the courts knowledge Judge Sam assigned an officer of his court to investigate and verify the amicus brief and act as a liaison between amicus Fred Mauney and the court.

This Court should retain Movant as a consultant / investigator in this litigation.

Movant should be allowed to participate in issuing subpoenas, interrogatories, depositions and the like in this litigation as was allowed in Wyatt v. Stickney, Wyatt v. Aderholt, Knop v Johnson, Michigan Fishing Right Case, and the Michigan Prisons Case.

Given that others involved have conflicts of interest and are therefore disqualified, Movant's is available for the job of Special Prosecutor.

Defendants should hire Movant as a consultant if not lead chair in their defense.

Movant request this court, seriously consider any one of the above, any combination of the above or all of the above.

Given the courts, "Inherent Power", this court should issue blanket arrest warrants from the bench.

That Movant's summary be incorporated into the conclusion.

It appears that USPS's and the defendants legal theory is:

"Stall, delay, hope you die or just go away"

Movant; Fred W. Mauney Jr., is a person on disability receiving a disability check in the amount of \$720 a month. This investigation and filing of this amicus brief would be a daunting task for a Special Prosecutor with a professional staff and unlimited funds. It's now time for this court to take the ball and run with it in the name of Honor, Integrity and In the Interest Of Justice and the "American Way of Life".

Last but not least, there is a need for a Special Prosecutor to be appointed by this court.

This amicus brief speaks for itself.

May God Save This Honorable Court ... And The Good Ole U. S. of A.

Respectively Submitted this Date: February 1st 2014

By Movant; Fred Woodrow Mauney Jr.

Fred Woodrow Mauney, Jr.

401 W 24th Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28206

Cell: 202. 413. 8578

E-mail: akathephoenix@yahoo.com

ADDENDUM: Movant, Fred Mauney, as has been mentioned is a man receiving a monthly disability check of \$720. Upon filing this Amicus Brief with the court which has taken up all of Movants time energy and funds, he will now be able to turn his limited funds, time and energy to his websites. Phoenix Charities.com, Fred Mauney.com, Banner Buggy.com , social media networks Facebook, Twitter and comments on different news feeds dealing with this Qui Tam civil action and other causes. See Movant's Exhibits: _ V, _40, _41, _42, _43.

Verification

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1746 that I Fred W. Mauney Jr. am the one and same Fred W. Mauney Jr. mentioned at all times in attached Motion For Leave To File Amicus Curaie Brief Case No. 1:10-cv-00976-RLW and attached Amicus Curaie Brief Case No. 1:10-cv00976-RLW.

I swear and certify under penalty of perjury to all statements, documentation and exhibits are true and correct to the best of his knowledge.

Respectively Submitted this Day: February 1st 2014
By Movant; Fred Woodrow Mauney Jr.

Fred Woodrow Mauney, Jr.

401 W 24th Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28206

Cell: 202. 413. 8578

E-mail: akathephoenix@yahoo.com